**Grading Rubric for PERS 2002 Presentations**

**1. Content**

­Did your talk adequately summarize the article presented?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Missed the mark  1 | Can improve  2 | Adequate  3 | Very Good  4 | Excellent  5 |

**2. Interaction, Eye Contact, Clarity of Communication**

Did you speak clearly, make eye contact with the audience, and engage them in your talk?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Needs significant work  1 | Can improve  2 | Adequate  3 | Very Good  4 | Excellent  5 |

**3.** **Analysis/Depth**

Did you go beyond the article to research a little further any of the points mentioned in the article? Did you convey your opinion convincingly?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| None  1 | Can improve  2 | Adequate  3 | Very Good  4 | Excellent  5 |

**4.** **Attire** **appropriate**

­Did you dress appropriately to address an audience? While formal business attire is not needed to address your peers, dressing up a little makes a better impression.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Ragged  1 | Informal  2 | Adequate  3 | Very Good  4 | Excellent  5 |